

**PART II AUTOMATA AND FORMAL LANGUAGES**  
**MICHAELMAS 2016-17**  
**EXAMPLE SHEET 2**

You may appeal to Church's thesis at any time, provided you clearly say so.

\* denotes a harder problem.

(1) Give decompositions, with proofs, of the integers  $\mathbb{N} = A \sqcup B$  into disjoint infinite sets  $A, B$  where:

- (a) Both  $A, B$  are r.e.
- (b) One of  $A, B$  is r.e., the other is not.
- (c) Neither of  $A, B$  are r.e.

(2) Give examples, with proofs, of infinite collections of recursive sets whose union:

- (a) Is recursive.
- (b) Is r.e. but not recursive.
- (c) Is not r.e., and its complement is not r.e. either.

(3) Let  $A$  be a recursive set, and define the set

$$B = \{2n \mid n \in A\} \cup \{2n + 1 \mid n \in \mathbb{K}\}$$

Is  $B$  recursive? If not, which of  $B$  and  $\mathbb{N} \setminus B$  are r.e., if any? Prove your answers.

(4) Construct DFA's, via transition diagrams, which accept the following languages:

- (a)  $\{w \in \{0, 1\}^* \mid |w| > 2\}$ .
- (b)  $\{w \in \{0, 1\}^* \mid w \text{ is an alternating sequence of 1's and 0's}\}$ .
- (c)  $\{w \in \{0, 1\}^* \mid w \text{ is a multiple of 3 when interpreted in binary}\}$ .
- (d)  $\{w \in \{a, \dots, z\}^* \mid w \text{ contains } ababa \text{ as a substring}\}$ .

(5) Construct NFA's, via transition diagrams, which accept the following languages:

- (a)  $\{w \in \{a, \dots, z\}^* \mid w \text{ contains } ababa \text{ as a substring}\}$ .
- (b)  $\{w \in \{a, \dots, z\}^* \mid w \text{ contains } dpmms \text{ and/or } damtp \text{ as a substring}\}$ .
- (c)  $\{w \in \{a, \dots, z\}^* \mid w \in \{what, where, when\}\}$ .

Use the subset construction to convert (5a) to a DFA.

- (6) Construct an  $\epsilon$ -NFA which accepts the *union* of the three languages from question (5), and has only *one* accept state.
- (7) Let  $L$  be a regular language over  $\Sigma$ . Prove that the complement of  $L$ ,  $\Sigma^* \setminus L$ , is also a regular language over  $\Sigma$ .
- (8) Let  $\Sigma$  be a finite alphabet, and  $L$  be a regular language over  $\Sigma$ .
- Fix an ordering of  $\Sigma$ , and use this to describe a well-ordering  $\{w_1, w_2, \dots\}$  of  $\Sigma^*$  by extending the idea of the shortlex ordering of  $\mathbb{N}^m$ .
  - Using this ordering of  $\Sigma^*$ , show that  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid w_n \in L\}$  is a recursive set.
- (9) Prove that the set  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid |W_n| > 5\}$  is r.e., but not recursive.
- (10) Show that, for each total recursive function  $h : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ , there is some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $f_{n,1} = f_{h(n),1}$  as functions. This is known as the *Recursion Theorem*.  
*Hint: Use the s-m-n theorem, and a universal partial recursive function.*
- (11\*) Give an example of an infinite collection of recursive sets  $\{W_n\}_{n \in I}$ , whose index set  $I$  is r.e., for which

$$\bigcap_{n \in I} W_n$$

is not r.e.

- (12) Consider the set  $X = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n \text{ codes a program and } f_{n,1} \text{ is total}\}$ .
- Show that  $\mathbb{K} \leq_m X$ , and thus that  $X$  is not recursive.
  - Show that  $\mathbb{N} \setminus X$  is not r.e.
  - (c\*) Show that  $X$  is not r.e.
- (13\*) Consider the two sets  $A = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n \text{ codes a program and } |W_n| = \infty\}$  and  $B = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n \text{ codes a program and } W_n = \mathbb{N}\}$ .
- With  $X$  as in (12), show that  $B = X$ .
  - Show that  $\mathbb{K} \leq_m A \leq_m B$ .
  - Show that  $\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{K} \leq_m A$ .

- (14) Given an explicit code  $m$  for a register machine  $P_m$ , consider the set

$$T_m := \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n \text{ codes a program and } W_m \subseteq W_n\}$$

Construct two explicit codes  $m, m'$  such that  $T_m$  is recursive, and  $T_{m'}$  is not r.e.

*Hint: Use the results of questions (12) and (13).*

- (15\*) Let  $g$  be a total recursive function on  $k$  variables. Show that, with  $X$  as in (12), we have  $X \leq_m \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n \text{ codes a program and } f_{n,k} = g \text{ as functions}\}$ . Hence show there is no partial algorithm to verify if answers to question (1) of example sheet 1 are correct, nor a partial algorithm to verify if they are incorrect.