

PART II AUTOMATA AND FORMAL LANGUAGES
MICHAELMAS 2018-19
EXAMPLE SHEET 1

* denotes a harder problem. By convention, we take $\mathbb{N} := \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$.

- (1) Give an example of a register machine, either via a program diagram or a sequence of instructions, for computing each of the following functions.

(a) $f(n) = n + 3$

(b) $f(n) = 3n$

(c) $f(m, n) = mn$

(d) $f(m, n) = m \bmod (n + 1)$

(e) $f(m, n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m = n \\ 0 & \text{if } m \neq n \end{cases}$

- (2) Draw a program diagram for each of the following sequences of instructions, and identify the upper register index of each program. Also, for the specified n , write down the function on n variables that the program computes.

(a) $(1, +, 2), (1, +, 0)$. $n = 1$.

(b) $(1, -, 2, 5), (2, +, 3), (3, +, 4), (4, +, 1), (3, -, 6, 0), (2, -, 7, 8), (1, +, 6), (4, -, 9, 11), (5, +, 10), (2, +, 8), (5, -, 12, 5), (4, +, 11)$. $n = 1$.

(c) $(2, +, 2), (4, +, 3), (3, -, 5, 7), (1, -, 9, 10), (5, +, 6), (8, +, 3), (3, +, 0), (1, +, 8)$. $n = 4$.

- (3) Build up each of the following total recursive functions from the basic functions via composition, recursion and minimalisation.

(a) $f(a, b, c, x) = ax^2 + bx + c$

(b) $f(n) = n!$

(c*) $f(m, n) = m \bmod (n + 1)$

- (4) Show that, for each $k > 1$, each of the following functions is primitive recursive

(a) $\text{rem}_k(n) = n \bmod k$

(b) $\text{floor}_k(n) = \lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor$

(c) $\text{divide}_k(n) = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{k} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{k} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

(d) $\text{power}_k(n, m) = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{k^m} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{k^m} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

For the remainder of this sheet, the phrase “*use Church’s thesis*” should be taken to mean “*an informal proof using the conventions of Church’s thesis is sufficient, provided it is clearly stated and justified*”.

- (5) Use Church’s thesis to show there is an algorithm that, on input of a code n for a register machine program P_n , halts iff P_n halts on *some* input in *some* number of variables.
- (6) Let E be an infinite subset of \mathbb{N} . Use Church’s thesis to show that E is recursive iff there is a strictly increasing total recursive function $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ whose image is precisely E .
- (7) (a) Use Church’s thesis to show that the set of prime numbers is recursive.
 (b*) Construct an explicit register machine which computes the characteristic function of the set of prime numbers.
- (8) Use Church’s thesis to show there is an algorithm that, on input of an integer $n > 1$, outputs the largest prime p which divides n .
- (9) Let $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be a total bijective function. Use Church’s thesis to show that f is total recursive iff f^{-1} is total recursive.
- (10) Use Church’s thesis to show there is a total computable function $h : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that, for each m which defines a register machine code, the partial computable function $f_{h(m),1}$ satisfies:

$$f_{h(m),1}(x) = f_{m,1}(x) + 1 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{N}$$

- (11*) Use Church’s thesis to show there is an r.e. set E such that for every $n \in E$, $f_{n,1}$ is *primitive* recursive, and moreover every primitive recursive function on 1 variable occurs as $f_{n,1}$ for *some* $n \in E$.
- (12*) We define *Cantor’s pairing function* $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathbb{N}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ by

$$\langle x, y \rangle := \frac{1}{2}(x + y)(x + y + 1) + y$$

and its natural extension, $\langle \cdot, \dots, \cdot \rangle_k : \mathbb{N}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, inductively via

$$\langle x_1, \dots, x_k \rangle_k := \langle \langle x_1, \dots, x_{k-1} \rangle_{k-1}, x_k \rangle$$

- (a) Show that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is a total bijection from $\mathbb{N}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$.
- (b) Show that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is a total computable function.
- (c) Show that $\langle \cdot, \dots, \cdot \rangle_k : \mathbb{N}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is a total computable bijection.
- (d) Use Church’s thesis to show that there is a total computable function $h : \mathbb{N}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that, for each m, k , the partial computable function $f_{m,k}$ satisfies:

$$f_{m,k}(x_1, \dots, x_k) = f_{h(m,k),1}(\langle x_1, \dots, x_k \rangle_k) \quad \forall (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k$$

- (e) Show that with $\langle \cdot, \dots, \cdot \rangle_k : \mathbb{N}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ we can produce all multi-variable partial computable functions from just the one-variable ones.