

PART II AUTOMATA AND FORMAL LANGUAGES
MICHAELMAS 2018-19
EXAMPLE SHEET 3

Unless explicitly asked to, you need not *prove* that any machine you construct defines the language you say it does. * denotes a harder problem.

- (1) Construct ϵ -NFA's and regular expressions for the following regular languages:
- (a) All words $w \in \{0, 1\}^*$ consisting of either the string 01 repeated some number of times (possibly none), or the string 010 repeated some number of times (possibly none).
 - (b) All words $w \in \{a, b, c\}^*$ consisting of some number of a 's (possibly none), followed by some number of b 's (at least one), followed by some number of c 's (possibly none).
 - (c) All words $w \in \{0, 1\}^*$ which contain a 1 somewhere in the last 4 positions. If $|w| < 4$, then w must contain a 1 somewhere.
 - (d) All words $w \in \{a, \dots, z, 0, \dots, 9, \dots, \cdot, \cdot\}^*$ of the form $name:\alpha.address:\beta$. where $\alpha, \beta \in \{a, \dots, z, 0, \dots, 9\}^*$. Where might you use such a machine/expression, and why?
- (2) Convert each of the following regular expressions to ϵ -NFA's:
- (a) $(\mathbf{0 + 1})(\mathbf{01})$
 - (b) $(\mathbf{a + bb})(\mathbf{ba^* + \epsilon})$
 - (c) $((\mathbf{aa^*})^*\mathbf{b})^* + \mathbf{c}$
- (3) Prove that $\{w \in \{0, 1\}^* \mid w \text{ contains no more than 5 consecutive 0's}\}$ is regular.
- (4) Let R, S, T be regular expressions. For each of the following statements, either prove that it is true, or find a specific counterexample.
- (a) $\mathcal{L}(R(S + T)) = \mathcal{L}(RS) \cup \mathcal{L}(RT)$
 - (b) $\mathcal{L}((R^*)^*) = \mathcal{L}(R^*)$
 - (c) $\mathcal{L}((RS)^*) = \mathcal{L}(R^*S^*)$
 - (d) $\mathcal{L}((R + S)^*) = \mathcal{L}(R^*) \cup \mathcal{L}(S^*)$
 - (e) $\mathcal{L}((R^*S^*)^*) = \mathcal{L}((R + S)^*)$
- (5) Use the pumping lemma to show that none of the following languages are regular:
- (a) $\{a^n b^n \mid n \geq 0\}$
 - (b) $\{a^n b^{2n} \mid n \geq 0\}$
 - (c) $\{ww \mid w \in \{0, 1\}^*\}$

- (6) For each of the following languages, determine whether or not they are regular. Justify your answers.
- (a) $\{a^n b^m \mid n \neq m\}$
 - (b) $\{xcx \mid x \in \{a, b\}^*\}$
 - (c) $\{xycy \mid x, y \in \{a, b\}^*\}$
 - (d) $\{a^n b^m \mid n > m\}$
 - (e) $\{a^n b^m \mid n \geq m \text{ and } m \leq 1000\}$
 - (f) $\{a^n b^m \mid n \geq m \text{ and } m \geq 1000\}$
 - (g) $\{1^p \mid p \text{ is a prime}\}$
- (7) Prove that no infinite subset of $\{0^n 1^n \mid n \geq 0\}$ is a regular language.
- (8) Find minimal DFA's for each of the following languages. In each case, *prove* that your DFA is minimal.
- (a) $\{a^n \mid n \geq 0, n \neq 3\}$
 - (b) $\{a^m b^n \mid m \geq 2, n \geq 3\}$
 - (c) $\{a^m b \mid m \geq 0\} \cup \{b^n a \mid n \geq 0\}$
- (9) If $D_1 = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$ is a minimal DFA, and $D_2 = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, Q \setminus F)$ is a DFA for $\Sigma^* \setminus \mathcal{L}(D_1)$, then is D_2 necessarily a minimal DFA? Prove your answer.
- (10) Let L, M be languages over Σ, Γ respectively. We define the *difference* $L - M$ to be the words that are in L but not M . That is, $L - M := (L \cup M) \setminus M$. Show that if L, M are both regular languages, then $L - M$ is a regular language over Σ .
- (11) Find a DFA which accepts $\{w \in \{0, 1\}^* \mid w \text{ is a multiple of 3 when interpreted in binary}\}$. Compute the remainder, mod 3, of the following binary number: 101101011000011010101101011. Convert your DFA to a regular expression accepting the same language.
- (12) Let D be a DFA with N states. Prove the following:
- (a) If D accepts at least one word, then D accepts a word of length less than N .
 - (b) If D accepts at least one word of length $\geq N$, then D accepts infinitely many words.
- (13) Show that the language $L := \{w01^n \mid w \in \{0, 1\}^*, n \in \mathbb{K}\} \cup \{1\}^*$ satisfies the pumping lemma for regular languages. Is L a regular language?
- (14) Give an algorithm that, on input of a DFA D , decides if $\mathcal{L}(D) = \emptyset$ or not.
- (15*) Give an algorithm that, on input of DFA's D_1, D_2 , decides if $\mathcal{L}(D_1) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(D_2)$ or not. (*You may appeal to results from the lectures.*)
- (16*) For any $X \subseteq \{1\}^*$, show that X^* is a regular language.